

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/650,593	08/27/2003	Herbert O. Ledebohm	019680-005800US	7921	
20350	7590 09/21/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
	O AND TOWNSEND AN	PEIKARI, BEHZAD			
TWO EMBAF EIGHTH FLO	RCADERO CENTER OOR		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SAN FRANCI	ISCO, CA 94111-3834		2189		

DATE MAILED: 09/21/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1									
		Application No.	Applicant(s)						
		10/650,593	LEDEBOHM ET AL.						
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	<u> </u>					
		B. James Peikari	2189						
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, be reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ING DATE OF THIS COMMUN CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a tion. y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO by statute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this communica BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
Status	. ,								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n 27 August 2003.							
·		☐ This action is non-final.							
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposit	on of Claims								
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are pending in the application.								
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)⊠	5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22 and 27</u> is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>23-26</u> is/are rejected.								
·	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.							
Applicat	on Papers								
9)🖂	The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>27 August 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:									
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* \$	See the attached detailed Office action fo	r a list of the certified copies no	l received.						
Attachmen	t(e)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)									
2) Notic	2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date								
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO r No(s)/Mail Date	/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of 6) ☐ Other:							

Application/Control Number: 10/650,593 Page 2

Art Unit: 2189

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Specification

- 1. The title of the invention is not descriptive. The specification is objected to because new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed. The title should be replaced with: "DYNAMICALLY CREATING OR REMOVING A PHYSICAL-TO-VIRTUAL ADDRESS MAP IN A MEMORY OF A PERIPHERAL DEVICE".
- 2. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

3. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

4. Claims 23-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

MPEP 2106 makes available suggested language to make computer program products statutory (and, alternatively, language to make computer program processes statutory):

"computer programs claimed as computer listings per se, i.e., the descriptions or

Art Unit: 2189

expressions of the programs, are not physical "things." They are neither computer components nor statutory processes, as they are not "acts" being performed. Such claimed computer programs do not define any structural and functional interrelationships between the computer program and other claimed elements of a computer which permit the computer program's functionality to be realized. In contrast, a claimed computer-readable medium encoded with a computer program is a computer element which defines structural and functional interrelationships between the computer program and the rest of the computer which permit the computer program's functionality to be realized, and is thus statutory. Accordingly, it is important to distinguish claims that define descriptive material per se from claims that define statutory inventions.

Computer programs are often recited as part of a claim. Office personnel should determine whether the computer program is being claimed as part of an otherwise statutory manufacture or machine. In such a case, the claim remains statutory irrespective of the fact that a computer program is included in the claim. The same result occurs when a computer program is used in a computerized process where the computer executes the instructions set forth in the computer program. Only when the claimed invention taken as a whole is directed to a mere program listing, i.e., to only its description or expression, is it descriptive material per se and hence nonstatutory.

Since a computer program is merely a set of instructions capable of being executed by a computer, the computer program itself is not a process and Office personnel should treat a claim for a computer program, without the computer-readable medium needed to realize the computer program's functionality, as nonstatutory functional descriptive material. When a computer program is claimed in a process where the computer is executing the computer program's instructions, Office personnel should treat the claim as a process claim... When a computer program is recited in conjunction with a physical structure, such as a computer memory, Office personnel should treat the claim as a product claim."

The suggested language for product claims has been highlighted in boldface type above. Claims 23-26 are product claims that are not limited to tangible embodiments. In view of applicant's disclosure in paragraph [0093], the claimed medium is not limited to a tangible embodiments, instead being defined as including both tangible embodiments (e.g., compact disk, DVD, flash memory) and intangible embodiments (e.g., carrier signals). As such, the claim is not limited to statutory subject matter and is

Application/Control Number: 10/650,593 Page 4

Art Unit: 2189

therefore non-statutory. Therefore, the examiner suggests that the claim or specification must be amended to limit the "medium" to a tangible element. For example, in claim 27, the claimed product *necessarily* includes hardware, namely the "peripheral device", which is tangible.

## Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 1-22 and 27 are allowed.

#### Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James Peikari whose telephone number is (571) 272-4185. The examiner is generally available between 7:00 am and 7:30 pm, EST, Monday through Wednesday, and between 5:30 am and 4:00 pm on Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew Kim, can be reached at (571) 272-4182. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

Application/Control Number: 10/650,593 Page 5

Art Unit: 2189

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov.

Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the

Electronic Business Center at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

B. James Peikari Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2189

9/18/05